



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,905	10/10/2000	Yunzhou Li	10360/075001/12335BA	4628
	10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			LEZAK, ARRIENNE M	
BOSTON, MA	· · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/685,905	LI, YUNZHOU				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arrienne M. Lezak	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS			
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO void abandonment of this applic	NDITION FOR ALLO cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	OWANCE. ly to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2)	ee MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t		,,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been consections	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-36.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	$\Delta + / \sim$	_			
10. Other:	Wille	1/~	ز			
	thimak Ch	HEXAMINEL HELYZ	$\mathcal{J}$			
		,				

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner finds that the issues presented by Applicant in the after-final Amendment have already been considered and responded to in the Final Office Action dated 29 July 2004. In particular, Examiner reiterrates the following: Applicant's claims have been written so broadly that any arbitrary state information, including that enumerated within Acharya, may be made to read upon them. In particular, as to Applicant's argument regarding the storage of state information, Examiner notes that Acharya teaches the storage of cells in a buffer, which cells inherently comprise state information, (Col. 7, line 38). As to Applicant's argument concerning the line card and controller, Examiner notes that Acharya teaches a line interface card wherein the ATM is switched dynamically, and therefore are inherently dynamically configuring said line cards, (in fact, said line cards are being configured specifically to exclude the multicast data on specific computed route(s)), (Col. 7, lines 37-51). As to Applicant's argument concerning the receipt of multicast data including unknown state information, Examiner observes that Acharya transmits information that is unknown to a second router from a first router, (Col. 15, line 14 - note: 1-2 bcast bitmap created by first router is unknown by second router).